

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/616,138		VOICE ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Paul S. Hyun		1743		

All Participants:

(1) Paul S. Hyun.

(2) Ian McLeod.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 19, 27, 28 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The interview was directed towards amendments proposed by the Examiner that would fix minor errors and facilitate the prosecution of the application.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:00 AM

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)